

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S008621      People, Respondent

v.

Manuel Mendoza, Appellant

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including November 21, 2000, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

B133867/S089848      People v. Charles Duhon – October 10, 2000.

C030335/S089625      People v. Egnacio Dorte Joshua – October 3, 2000.

C032264/S089669      People v. Stephen Ernest Eldridge – October 5, 2000.

D032302/S090099      People v. Kevin Charles Fitch – October 11, 2000.

F030080/S090079      People v. Gary William Parsons – October 9, 2000.

F031004/S089710      People v. Steven Ross Madsen – October 8, 2000.

H016871/S090076      People v. Say Sengpadychith; In re Say Sengpadychith on Habeas Corpus – October 9, 2000.

H021392/S090139      Gary W. Law v. Santa Clara County Superior Court;  
Terayon Communication Systems Incorporated, RPI –  
October 11, 2000.

S085029 People, Respondent

v.

Floyd Maurice Palmer et al., Appellants

On application of appellant Donald E. Price and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including September 25, 2000.

S088216 In re Steven Robert Loy

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of The People and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file an informal response is extended to and including November 10, 2000.

S089226 Nicholas F. Coscia, Appellant

v.

McKenna & Cuneo et al., Respondents

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including October 10, 2000.

S091299 Allen Dee Miller, Petitioner

v.

Orange County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for consideration in light of Hagan v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S091361 Jimmy Otero Gonzales, Petitioner

v.

Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.